

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **OAKLAND DIVISION**  
11

12 LAURI VALJAKKA,

13 *Plaintiff,*

14 v.

15 NETFLIX, INC.,

16 *Defendant.*

Case No. 4:22-cv-01490-JST

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER  
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE  
SEALED [MOTION FOR ORDER TO  
SHOW CAUSE AND SANCTIONS]**

On February 18, 2025, Defendant Netflix, Inc. (“Netflix”) filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Material Should Be Sealed (“Administrative Sealing Motion”) relating to its Motion for Order to Show Cause and Sanctions, which contains information designated CONFIDENTIAL under the Protective Order by non-party AiPi, LLC.

The Court having reviewed the evidence in support of the Administrative Sealing Motion, finds that the following constitutes sealable material under Local Rule 79-5:

Name of Document	Portion(s) to Seal
Motion for Order to Show Cause and Sanctions	Highlighted portions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 6:22-23;</li> <li>• 6:25-26;</li> <li>• 7:4;</li> <li>• 7:5;</li> <li>• 7:11-15; and</li> <li>• 7:27-28.</li> </ul>
Exhibits J through O to the Edlin Declaration in Support of Motion for an Order to Show Cause and Sanctions.	Entirety

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Netflix’s Administrative Sealing Motion is GRANTED. The unredacted version of Netflix’s Motion for an Order to Show Cause and Sanctions and Exhibits J through O thereto shall be filed under seal pursuant to L.R. 79-5(c).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Hon. JON S. TIGAR  
 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE